Appl. No. 10/038,026 Amdt. Dated January 18, 2005 Reply to Office Action of December 16, 2004 Docket No. TC00151 Customer No., 23330

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 and 15-25 have been cancelled. Claim 14 has been amended. Claim 14 remains in the application. The actions taken are in the interest of expediting prosecution and with no intention of surrendering any range of equivalents to which Applicants would otherwise be entitled in view of the prior art. Moreover, the amendment or cancellation of claims herein is without prejudice to pursuing claims of different scope by way of continuing Application. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

U.S.C. 102(b)

Claims 1-13 and 15-25 are rejected under U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated Eitzenberger (U.S. Patent No. 6,023,232). Claims 1-13 and 15-25 have been cancelled rendering this rejection moot.

ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER

It was stated in the Office action that claim 14 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 14 has been rewritten to be in independent form including all of the limitation of the base claim.

Prior Art

The references cited but not relied upon are believed not to anticipate or make obvious Applicants' invention.

Appl. No. 10/038,026 Amdt. Dated January 18, 2005 Reply to Office Action of December 16, 2004 Docket No. TC00151 Customer No.. 23330

Summary

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Accordingly, this application is believed to be in proper form for allowance and an early notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

Please charge any fees associated herewith, including extension of time fees, to 502117.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc. Law Department 3102 North 56th Street Phoenix, Arizona 85018 Customer Number: 23330 Ву:

Kevin D. Wills Attorney of Record Reg. No.: 43,993

Telephone:602-952-4399 Fax No.: 602-952-4376